GAVILAN JOINT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE E GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT

JUNE 30, 2008



Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Gavilan Joint Community College District Gilroy, California

We have performed the agreed-upon procedures, which were agreed to by the management of the Gavilan Joint Community College District, to review at least 25 percent of the total expenditures of 2004 Measure E General Obligation Bond funds for the period of July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008, for the purpose of verifying if the use of the funds is within the scope of the published materials specifying the intended use of bond funds. We used election documents, District resolutions, and the project priority list as the guidance for the intended use of the funds. For any expenditures in question, we will recommend that the District obtain the opinion of legal counsel and we will inform the District as to the issues. Management is responsible for Gavilan Joint Community College District's compliance with election documents, district resolutions, and the project priority list. This engagement to perform agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and meets the compliance requirements to perform an "audit" as outlined in Subparagraph (C) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 1 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution which was enacted as a result of Proposition 39. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Financial Summary

- 1. The general obligation bond funds were authorized at an election of the registered voters of the District held on March 2, 2004. The bonds were authorized at an issuance of \$108,000,000 principal amount for the purpose of constructing and modernization of school facilities and to refund certain lease obligations of the College. The first and second series of the bonds were issued in 2004 and sold in the amounts of \$29,170,000 and \$830,000. The third series of the bonds were issued in 2007 and sold in the amount of \$50,000,000. After providing for the required repayment reserves, payment of issuance costs, and funding the refunding bond escrow accounts, the net proceeds available for construction were \$76,611,028.
- 2. Total expenditures and contract commitments through June 30, 2008, were \$32,574,725.
- 3. An analysis of expenditures is as follows:

EXPENDITURES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2008:

Classified salaries	\$ 47,924
Employee benefits	17,797
Books and supplies	2,934
Services and operating expenditures	14,037,648
Capital outlay	1,365,687
Total Expenditures	\$ 15,471,990

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Page 2

4. Available unspent funds from the first and second bond series as of June 30, 2008 were:

General obligation bond proceeds, Series A	\$	29,170,000
General obligation bond proceeds, Series B		830,000
General obligation bond proceeds, Series C		50,000,000
Bond premiums (Series A & B)		728,130
Transfer from other funds		250,000
Transfer to refunding bond escrow accounts		(3,625,796)
Required deposit to bond sinking fund		(202,485)
Bond issuance costs		(538,821)
Total bond financing sources and uses	-	76,611,028
Cumulative interest earned (net fair market value adjustment)		3,555,679
Cumulative State and local revenues		588,067
Less cumulative expenditures and commitments		(32,574,725)
Amount available	\$	48,180,049

Agreed Upon Procedures Performed

- 1. Verify that the expenditure of funds was accounted for separately in the accounting records to allow for accountability.
- 2. Select at least 25 percent of the expenditures and verify that the funds expended complied with the purpose that was specified to the registered voters of the District through election materials, district resolutions, and the project priority list that were distributed to the voters.
- 3. Select a sample of any payroll and/or benefit costs charged to the fund and ensure none were related to administrators' salaries as specifically disallowed in the bond language.
- 4. Verify that District's internal control procedures are operating according to District policies.
- 5. Verify that the State and District policies were followed in the awarding of bids and expenditure of the funds.

Results of Procedures

- 1. The general obligation bond fund expenditures were accounted for separately in the Bond Construction Fund (Fund 60) of the District.
- 2. Our review of the expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2008, did not reveal any items that were paid from the general obligation bond funds that did not comply with the purpose of the Bonds that were approved by the registered voters of the District on March 2, 2004. We did not request that management of the District obtain any legal opinions on expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2008. See supplemental information for list of expenditures reviewed.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Page 3

- 3. The payroll and benefit charges were not related to administrators' salaries but rather allowable internal specific project oversight costs.
- 4. Our review of the internal control procedures followed on selected invoices revealed no exceptions to the internal control policies of the District.
- 5. Our review of the awarding of contracts and the disbursement of funds revealed no exceptions to the policies of the State and the District.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Gavilan Joint Community College District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Vourinek, Okune, Day & Co., LLP

Fresno, California December 19, 2008

GAVILAN JOINT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND EXPENDITURE TESTING FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

Page 4

Date	Vendor	Purpose	Amount
1/5/2008	BFGC Architects	Construction Services	\$ 120,298
7/31/2007	Meadows Construction	Construction Services	385,750
9/19/2007	Meadows Construction	Construction Services	298,405
4/7/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services	115,154
11/18/2007	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	289,270
12/5/2007	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	241,148
1/24/2008	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	373,980
1/30/2008	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	440,448
3/10/2008	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	554,609
4/11/2008	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	336,133
5/21/2008	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	306,486
6/12/2008	Tombleson Inc.	Science Building Modernization	682,368
11/8/2007	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	645,227
12/5/2007	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	318,900
2/8/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	328,357
3/10/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	244,248
3/25/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	201,816
4/30/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	437,218
5/29/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	202,866
6/12/2008	Meadows Construction	Construction Services - Occupational Ed. Bldg. Modernization	186,144
8/16/2007	BFGC Architects	Construction Services - Phase I Improvements	158,280
9/19/2007	McGuire and Hes	Construction Services - Phase I Improvements	624,499
10/4/2007	McGuire and Hes	Construction Services - Phase I Improvements	985,828
9/5/2007	Kitchell CEM	Construction Services - Phase I Improvements	84,816
11/8/2007	McGuire and Hes	Construction Services - Phase I Improvements	88,026
1/30/2008	BFGC Architects	Construction Services	130,832
1/30/2008	McGuire and Hes	Phase I Site Improvements	94,768
4/7/2008	McGuire and Hes	Phase I Site Improvements	94,768
5/6/2008	Collegenet Inc	Banner Scheduling Software	64,310
		Total tested:	\$ 9,034,952
		Total expended (7/1/07-6/30/08):	\$ 15,471,990
		Percent tested	58%